



Policy Implementation Experience Report

James Chirwa Registration Services Team AFRINIC 29 | November 2018



Purpose

1. To Provide feedback to the members and Community regarding - Recently Ratified policies and implementation timeline - Ratified policies that have been implemented - Experiences faced by hostmasters while handling requests governed by currently implemented policies - Sections of the Consolidated Policy Manual that are ambiguous or lacking clarity



Recently Ratified and Implemented

AFPUB-2018-V6-001-DRAFT01 - IPv6 Policy and Reference Update

Incorporated in the Consolidated Policy Manual version 1.3:

https://www.afrinic.net/policy/manual#IPv6

- Implemented : 23rd November 2018



Recently Ratified and Implemented

AFPUB-2018-V6-003-DRAFT02 - IPv6 Initial Allocation

Update

Incorporated in the Consolidated Policy Manual version 1.3:

https://www.afrinic.net/policy/manual#Allocations-

Assignments-Policies

- Implemented : 23rd November 2018



Recently Ratified and Implemented

AFPUB-2018-V6-004-DRAFT01 - IPv6 PI Update

Incorporated in the Consolidated Policy Manual version 1.3: <u>https://www.afrinic.net/policy/manual#PI-</u>

- Implemented : 23rd November 2018

<u>A</u>



Ratified and Implemented

AFPUB-2017-DNS-001-DRAFT-02 - Lame Delegation in AFRINIC DNS V2

CPM: <u>https://www.afrinic.net/policy/manual#lame</u>

Implemented: 28th September 2018

Lame Checker Tool: https://afrinic.net/whois/lame

NOTE: Deletion not started and statistics page not ready

Member support ongoing(hostmaster@afrinic.net)



5.4.6.1 In order to receive IPv4 allocations or assignments during the Exhaustion Phase, the LIR or End User must have used at least 90% of all previous allocations or assignments (including those made during both the Current Phase and the Exhaustion Phase).

5.5.1.4.1 An LIR may receive an additional allocation when about 80% of all the address space currently allocated to it has been used in valid assignments and/or sub-allocations. A new allocation can also be made if single assignment or sub-allocation requires more addresses than those currently held by the LIR.

5.6.3 Additional PI Assignment

Utilization rate of address space is a key factor in justifying a new assignment of IP address space. Requestors must show exactly how previous address assignments have been utilized and must provide appropriate details to verify their one-year growth projection. The basic criteria that must be met are:

- a. A 25% immediate utilization rate, and
- b. A 50% utilization rate within one year.



Ambiguous as members may consider 5.5.1.4.1 & 5.6.3 as valid AFRINIC Interpretation - 5.4.6.1 supercede these sections. AFRINIC's action - Inform member as to which policy section in CPM that shall be used to evaluate the resource request

Request to the community - Can CPM be updated to remove the sections regarding IPv4 that are obsolete?



5.4.6.1 In order to receive IPv4 allocations or assignments during the Exhaustion Phase, the LIR or End User must have used at least 90% of all previous allocations or assignments (including those made during both the Current Phase and the Exhaustion Phase).

Challenge: Request for additional /24 IPv4 from an EU member for a Data centre redundancy. Current usage sums up to less than 90%. As per current soft-landing policy, the member is not eligible for additional resources, thus will not be able to setup the second Data centre



CPM 5.7.1 only allows IPv4 transfers for Intra-RIR transfers **Challenge:** Members wish to transfer ASN and IPv6

as well.

Mergers and Acquisitions accepts the transfer of all based on the existing guidelines(non-policy) **Afrinic's recommendation -** Update the policy to remove the restriction



CPM 7.4.2 - Multi homed

Challenge: Policy is strict on Multi homing requirement but we receive requests for public ASN to do BGP with only one provider and have the freedom to scale their network. Afrinic's actions - Reject ASN if not multihoming immediately or have no plans to multi-home Afrinic's recommendation - Update the policy to remove the restriction



CPM 5.2.1.2 - Registration

Resource Holder registers prefix usage with names of 'reputable companies', who deny being the customers of these resource holders.

Our policies lack guidance on actions AFRINIC can take to deal with such invalid information

Does the community feel that there should be a policy so that AFRINIC can intervene and remove WHOIS assignments based on the complaints we receive?



CPM 10.7 Lame Delegation

Huge volume of Lame records ~ 19613 impacting 530 resource members

Members must ensure that they register nameservers that are well configured and ready to respond for the respective domains



Incomplete Resource Requests

- Missing IP addressing Plan, justification for additional resources
- Members not in good standing(pending annual/penalty fees)
- Policy non-compliance(utilisation of resources not registered on the whois database)



Thank you.

00000

twitter.com/ afrinic

flickr.com/ afrinic

facebook.com/ afrinic

inkedin.com/company/ afrinic

youtube.com/ afrinic media

www. afrinic.net